UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,227	05/18/2005	Igor Yu Galaev	PU0242	2606
	7590 12/24/200 ARE BIO-SCIENCES	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA		HENRY, MICHAEL C		
800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE PISCATAWAY, NJ 08855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,227	GALAEV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL C. HENRY	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	ctober 2008.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
·—	· _					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-14, 16-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-14, 16-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/21/08</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/20/08 has been entered.

The following office action is a responsive to the Amendment filed, 10/20/08.

The amendment filed 10/20/08 affects the application, 10/517,227 as follows:

- Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 11 has been canceled. The rejections made under
 U.S.C. 103(a) of the prior office action mailed 02/27/08 are maintained
- 2. The responsive to applicants' arguments is contained herein below.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16-17 are pending in application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snoke et al. (US 4,055,469) in view of Izumrudov et al. (Biopolymers (nucleic acid sciences), Vol. 52, 94-108 (1999).

In claim 1, applicant claims a method of isolating a desired nucleic acid from a biological solution, that may contain other species including nucleic acids, proteins, other high molecular

Art Unit: 1623

weight compounds, salts and other low-molecular weight substances, which method comprises selectively precipitating the desired nucleic acid, while leaving the other species in solution, by adding a polycationic precipitating agent to the solution and allowing it to form an insoluble complex with said desired nucleic acid, wherein the precipitating agent is a highly charged linear polymer that includes quaternary amino groups, and further wherein the precipitating agent is added to the solution in the presence of a salt, wherein the amount of said precipitating agent is sufficient to attain a charge ratio [+]/[-] between the precipitating agent and nucleic acid of \geq about 0.5 during the precipitation, further wherein the salt concentration of the solution is controlled during the addition of the precipitating agent to allow quantitative selective precipitation of the nucleic acid/polycation complex. Claims 2, 8-10 are drawn to the method of claim 1, wherein the precipitating agent includes specific positive charges, specific ratio of polymer molecular wt to polymer charge in the precipitating agent, precipitating agent of specific positive charge, specific precipitating agents including poly(N',N'-dimethyldiallylammonium) chloride, ionene bromide and poly(N-alkyl-4-vinylpyridinium). Claim 3 is drawn to said method further comprising a step of estimating the number of negative charges in the biological solution before addition of the precipitating agent. Claims 4-7 are drawn to said method involving specific nucleic acid and specific biological solutions. Claim 12 is drawn to the method of claim 1, further comprising recovering nucleic acid from the precipitate formed by separating the precipitate from solution and subsequent dissolution and/or destruction of the complex. Claims 13-14 are drawn to said method involving the dissolution or destruction of the polyelectrolyte complex by addition of salt and of salt of specific concentration depending on the charge ratio and salt nature. Claims 16-17 are drawn to said method comprising first and second isolations of Art Unit: 1623

nucleic acid from the biological solution and isolating nucleic acids subjected to modification reactions.

Snoke et al. disclose a method of isolating a desired nucleic acid from a biological solution, that may contain other species including proteins, which method comprises selectively precipitating the desired nucleic acid, while leaving the other species in solution, by adding a polycationic precipitating agent to the solution and wherein the precipitating agent is a highly charged linear polymer that includes quaternary amino groups, and further wherein the precipitating agent is added to the solution in the presence of a salt (see abstract, example 6 and claims).

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method taught by Snoke et al. is that Snoke et al. do not disclose the formation of an insoluble complex of the nucleic acid and the precipitating agent nor a need for the amount of precipitating agent to be sufficient to attain a charge ratio [+] / [-] between the precipitating agent and nucleic acid of \geq about 0.5 during the precipitation.

Izumrudov et al. disclose that polycationic agents or polycationic polymers poly(N',N'-dimethyldiallylammonium) chloride, ionene bromide and poly(N-alkyl-4-vinylpyridinium) bind to DNA (nucleic acid) and forms a complex and that the stability of the complexes can be controlled by varying e.g. the salt concentration (see page 104, paragraph 3 to page end of page 10). Furthermore, Izumrudov et al. disclose that the addition of salt can dissolve or destruct the complex (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the method of Snoke et al. to isolate a desired nucleic acid from

Art Unit: 1623

a biological solution comprising selectively precipitating the desired nucleic acid, by adding a polycationic precipitating agent to the solution in the presence of salt and in view of Izumrudov et al. to allow the formation of an insoluble complex of the precipitating agent with said desired nucleic acid and to determine the amount of precipitating agent such as in terms of the charge ratio of precipitating agent to nucleic acid that is required to produce a complex as taught by Izumrudov et al. which can be separated by adjusting the salt concentration.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the method of Snoke et al. to isolate a desired nucleic acid from a biological solution comprising selectively precipitating the desired nucleic acid, by adding a polycationic precipitating agent to the solution in the presence of salt and in view of Izumrudov et al. to allow the formation of an insoluble complex of the precipitating agent with said desired nucleic acid and to determine the amount of precipitating agent such as in terms of the charge ratio of precipitating agent to nucleic acid that is required to produce a complex as taught by Izumrudov et al. which can be separated by adjusting the salt concentration. In addition, it should be noted that a substance such as the said complex precipitates from solution when the net charge is zero thus a skilled artisan would be motivated to determine the limiting amount of precipitating agent that is required to form the said complex and to ensure precipitation. Furthermore, it should be noted that it is obvious to repeat the addition of precipitating agent to the remaining biological solution so as to precipitate, obtain or isolate a greater yield or quantity of said nucleic acid. In addition, Izumrudov et al. disclose that the addition of salt can dissolve or destruct the complex (see abstract). Consequently, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated based on the teachings of Izumrudov et al. to vary, alter or control the salt concentration such as during the addition of

precipitating agent. In addition, a skilled artisan would be motivated to alter the salt concentration as to optimize the formation and/or stability of the complex precipitated.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-10, 12-14, 16-17 have been considered but are not found convincing, consequently the rejection is maintained.

The applicant argues that Applicants submit that neither Snoke nor Izumrudov teach or suggest the claimed invention. Namely, the references do not teach or suggest controlling the salt concentration during addition of precipitating agent, to "allow quantitative selective precipitation of the nucleic acid/polycation complex". However as set forth in the rejection above, Izumrudov et al. disclose that polycationic agents or polycationic polymers poly(N',N'dimethyldiallylammonium) chloride, ionene bromide and poly(N-alkyl-4-vinylpyridinium) bind to DNA (nucleic acid) and forms a complex and that the stability of the complexes can be controlled by varying e.g. the salt concentration (see page 104, paragraph 3 to page end of page 10). Furthermore, Izumrudov et al. disclose that the addition of salt can dissolve or destruct the complex (see abstract). Consequently, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated based on the teachings of Izumrudov et al. to vary, alter or control the salt concentration such as during the addition of precipitating agent. In addition, a skilled artisan would be motivated to alter the salt concentration as to optimize the formation and/or stability of the complex precipitated.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,227 Page 7

Art Unit: 1623

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Henry December 20, 2008.

/Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623